Implementation Stage Quality Assurance Report

Form Status: Approved			
Overall Rating:	Satisfactory		
Decision:	Continue as planned: The project is of sufficient quality to continue as planned. All management actions must be addressed in a timely manner.		
Portfolio/Project Number:	00068663		
Portfolio/Project Title:	Fortalecimiento Secretaría de Gabinete		
Portfolio/Project Date:	2012-07-01 / 2020-03-31		

Strategic

Quality Rating: Exemplary

1. Is the project pro-actively identifying changes to the external environment and incorporating them into the project strategy?

S: The project team has identified relevant changes in the external environment that may present new opportunities or threats to the project's ability to achieve its objectives and the assumptions have been tested to determine if the project's strategy is still valid. There is evidence that the project board has considered the implications, and documented any changes needed to the project in response. (all must be true)

- 2: The project team has identified relevant changes in the external environment that may present new opportunities or threats to the project's ability to achieve its objectives. There is some evidence that the project board discussed this, but relevant changes may not have been fully integrated in the project. (both must be true)
- 1: The project team may have considered relevant changes in the external environment since implementation began, but there is no evidence that the project team has considered changes to the project as a result.

Evidence:

Así como diciembre del 2015 el gobierno nacional m odifico su estructura ministerial creado un Ministerio de Modernización, en el año 2017 dicho Ministerio p asó a ser Secretaría de Gobierno de Modernización ampliando su competencia de sus funciones. El Pro yecto continuó contribuyendo al ambicioso plan de modernización de la gestión publica e incorporó nue vas actividades para acompañar a las necesidades de modernización de otras áreas de la Secretaría.

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	68663_prodoc_1139_201 (https://intranet. undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocum ents/68663_prodoc_1139_201.pdf)	maria.eugenia.galindez@undp.org	9/26/2019 9:36:00 PM
2	ARG12012RevisiónB_1139_201 (https://in tranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormD ocuments/ARG12012RevisiónB_1139_20 1.pdf)	maria.eugenia.galindez@undp.org	9/26/2019 9:37:00 PM
3	ARG12012RevisiónC_1139_201 (https://in tranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormD ocuments/ARG12012RevisiónC_1139_20 1.pdf)	maria.eugenia.galindez@undp.org	9/26/2019 9:38:00 PM
4	ARG12012RevisiónD_1139_201 (https://in tranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormD ocuments/ARG12012RevisiónD_1139_20 1.pdf)	maria.eugenia.galindez@undp.org	9/26/2019 9:38:00 PM
5	68663_RevisionE_1139_201 (https://intra net.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDoc uments/68663_RevisionE_1139_201.pdf)	maria.eugenia.galindez@undp.org	9/26/2019 9:39:00 PM
6	68663_RevisiónF_1139_201 (https://intran et.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocu ments/68663_RevisiónF_1139_201.pdf)	maria.eugenia.galindez@undp.org	9/26/2019 9:40:00 PM
7	68663_RevisiónG_1139_201 (https://intra net.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDoc uments/68663_RevisiónG_1139_201.pdf)	maria.eugenia.galindez@undp.org	9/26/2019 9:41:00 PM
8	68663_RevisiónH_1139_201 (https://intra net.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDoc uments/68663_RevisiónH_1139_201.pdf)	maria.eugenia.galindez@undp.org	9/26/2019 9:41:00 PM
9	68663_RevisiónI_1139_201 (https://intran et.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocu ments/68663_RevisiónI_1139_201.pdf)	maria.eugenia.galindez@undp.org	9/26/2019 9:46:00 PM

2. Is the project aligned with the UNDP Strategic Plan?

- 3: The project responds at least one of the development settings³ as specified in the Strategic Plan (SP) and adopts at least one Signature Solution⁴ and the project's RRF includes at all the relevant SP output indicators. (all must be true)
- 2: The project responds to one of the three areas of development work¹ as specified in the Strategic Plan. The project's RRF includes at least one SP output indicator, if relevant. (both must be true)
- 1: While the project may respond to a partner's identified need, this need falls outside the UNDP Strategic Plan.
 Also select this option if none of the relevant SP indicators are included in the RRF.

El proyecto esta alineado con las prioridades del PN UD, en particular al Área de Cooperación 3: para el 2020, el país habrá consolidado una ciudadanía ple na mediante la protección y promoción integral de lo s derechos humanos y el diseño y aplicación de me canismos de acceso a la información, de forma que se garantice la participación ciudadana y el acceso a la justicia en todo el territorio, sin discriminación d e ninguna índole, Producto 3.2.: desarrollo de la tec nología de la información y las comunicaciones en l as instituciones nacionales y subnacionales para a mpliar y mejorar su calidad y cobertura en todo el te rritorio. Adicionalmente, contribuye al ODS-16 "Paz, Justicia e Instituciones Sólidas" Meta 16.6. Crear ins tituciones eficaces, responsables y transparentes a t odos los niveles.

Producto 3.2: desarrollo de la tecnología de la infor mación y las comunicaciones en las instituciones na cionales y subnacionales para ampliar y mejorar su calidad y cobertura en todo el territorio.

Indicador 3.2.2.: Número de nuevos servicios en lín ea.

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
No	documents available.		

Relevant

Quality Rating: Highly Satisfactory

3. Are the project's targeted groups being systematically engaged, with a priority focus on the discriminated and marginalized, to ensure the project remains relevant for them?

- 3: Systematic and structured feedback has been collected over the past two years from a representative sample of beneficiaries, with a priority focus on the discriminated and marginalized, as part of the project's monitoring system. Representatives from the targeted groups are active members of the project's governance mechanism (i.e., the project board or equivalent) and there is credible evidence that their feedback informs project decision making. (all must be true)
- 2: Targeted groups have been engaged in implementation and monitoring, with a priority focus on the discriminated and marginalized. Beneficiary feedback, which may be anecdotal, has been collected over the past year to ensure the project is addressing local priorities. This information has been used to inform project decision making. (all must be true)
- 1: Some beneficiary feedback may have been collected over the past year, but this information has not been used to inform project decision making. This option is also selected if no beneficiary feedback has been collected.
- Not Applicable

El proyecto es ejecutado desde la Subsecretaría de Coordinación Administrativa de de la Secretaría de Gobierno de Modernización de la Nación y trabaja e n estrecha colaboración con las áreas de gobierno a bierto, país digital e innovación tecnológica. Si bien el proyecto no incluye a los grupos marginados co mo beneficiarios directos, es importante resaltar que sus resultados alcanzados se enfocan en la modern ización de los procesos y servicios brindados a la p oblación de todo el territorio de la Nación.

List of Uploaded Documents			
#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
No documents available.			

4. Is the project generating knowledge and lessons learned (i.e., what has worked and what has not) and has this knowledge informed management decisions to ensure the continued relevance of the project towards its stated objectives, the quality of its outputs and the management of risk?

- S: Knowledge and lessons learned from internal or external sources (gained, for example, from Peer Assists, After Action Reviews or Lessons Learned Workshops) backed by credible evidence from evaluation, corporate policies/strategies, analysis and monitoring have been discussed in project board meetings and reflected in the minutes. There is clear evidence that changes were made to the project to ensure its continued relevance. (both must be true)
- 2: Knowledge and lessons learned backed by relatively limited evidence, drawn mainly from within the project, have been considered by the project team. There is some evidence that changes were made to the project as a result to ensure its continued relevance. (both must be true)
- 1: There is limited or no evidence that knowledge and lessons learned have been collected by the project team.
 There is little or no evidence that this has informed project decision making.

Ver Informes Trimestrales y Anuales. El proyecto to ma la experiencia de cooperaciones anteriores brind adas por proyectos PNUD e incluye a otras áreas d e la administración.

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	ARG12012ITPaJun18_1139_204 (https://i ntranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAForm Documents/ARG12012ITPaJun18_1139_ 204.pdf)	maria.eugenia.galindez@undp.org	9/27/2019 11:47:00 AM
2	ARG12012IAP2012_1139_204 (https://intr anet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormD ocuments/ARG12012IAP2012_1139_204. pdf)	maria.eugenia.galindez@undp.org	9/27/2019 11:24:00 AM
3	ARG12012IAP2014_1139_204 (https://intr anet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormD ocuments/ARG12012IAP2014_1139_204. pdf)	maria.eugenia.galindez@undp.org	9/27/2019 11:24:00 AM
4	ARG12012IAP2016_1139_204 (https://intr anet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormD ocuments/ARG12012IAP2016_1139_204. pdf)	maria.eugenia.galindez@undp.org	9/27/2019 11:24:00 AM
5	ARG12012IAP2018_1139_204 (https://intr anet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAFormD ocuments/ARG12012IAP2018_1139_204. pdf)	maria.eugenia.galindez@undp.org	9/27/2019 11:45:00 AM
6	ARG120122ITP2017_1139_204 (https://in tranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAForm Documents/ARG120122ITP2017_1139_2 04.pdf)	maria.eugenia.galindez@undp.org	9/27/2019 11:44:00 AM
7	ARG120123ITP2017_1139_204 (https://in tranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAForm Documents/ARG120123ITP2017_1139_2 04.pdf)	maria.eugenia.galindez@undp.org	9/27/2019 11:45:00 AM
8	ARG12012ITP4trim2017_1139_204 (http s://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAF ormDocuments/ARG12012ITP4trim2017_ 1139_204.pdf)	maria.eugenia.galindez@undp.org	9/27/2019 11:45:00 AM
9	ARG12012ITPSept18_1139_204 (https://i ntranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAForm Documents/ARG12012ITPSept18_1139_ 204.pdf)	maria.eugenia.galindez@undp.org	9/27/2019 11:46:00 AM
10	ARG12012ITPaMar18_1139_204 (https://i ntranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAForm Documents/ARG12012ITPaMar18_1139_ 204.pdf)	maria.eugenia.galindez@undp.org	9/27/2019 11:47:00 AM

11	ARG12012ITPaDic18_1139_204 (https://i ntranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QAForm Documents/ARG12012ITPaDic18_1139_ 204.pdf)	maria.eugenia.galindez@undp.org	9/27/2019 11:48:00 AM
12	ARG12012ITPaMarzo2019_1139_204 (htt ps://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QA FormDocuments/ARG12012ITPaMarzo20 19_1139_204.pdf)	maria.eugenia.galindez@undp.org	9/27/2019 11:48:00 AM
13	ARG12012ITPaJunio2019_1139_204 (htt ps://intranet.undp.org/apps/ProjectQA/QA FormDocuments/ARG12012ITPaJunio20 19_1139_204.pdf)	maria.eugenia.galindez@undp.org	9/27/2019 11:48:00 AM

5. Is the project sufficiently at scale, or is there potential to scale up in the future, to meaningfully contribute to development change?

- 3: There is credible evidence that the project is reaching a sufficient number of beneficiaries (either directly through significant coverage of target groups, or indirectly, through policy change) to meaningfully contribute to development change.
- 2: While the project is currently not at scale, there are explicit plans in place to scale up the project in the future (e.g. by extending its coverage or using project results to advocate for policy change).
- 1: The project is not at scale, and there are no plans currently to scale up the project in the future.

Evidence:

El proyecto trabaja con todas las áreas de la Secret aría de Gobierno de Modernización e incluso brinda asistencia a otras áreas clave del Poder Ejecutivo N acional como es la Jefatura de Gabinete de Ministro s de la Nación y el Ministerio de Salud de la Nacion para las actividades relacionadas con telemedicina.

	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
doour	nents available.		
uoc	uments available.		

Principled

Quality Rating: Satisfactory

6. Are the project's measures (through outputs, activities, indicators) to address gender inequalities and empower women relevant and producing the intended effect? If not, evidence-based adjustments and changes have been made.

- 3: The project team has systematically gathered data and evidence through project monitoring on the relevance of the measures to address gender inequalities and empower women. Analysis of data and evidence were used to inform adjustments and changes, as appropriate. (both must be true)
- 2: The project team has some data and evidence on the relevance of the measures to address gender inequalities and empower women. There is evidence that at least some adjustments were made, as appropriate. (both must be true)
- 1: The project team has limited or no evidence on the relevance of measures to address gender inequalities and empowering women. No evidence of adjustments and/or changes made. This option should also be selected if the project has no measures to address gender inequalities and empower women relevant to the project results and activities.

Evidence:

Uno de los componentes del proyecto se relacionab a con la promoción de valores orientados a promov er la equidad de género. Actualmente dicha activida d no continúa dado que no se encuentra bajo la co mpetencia de la Secretaría de Gobierno de Moderni zación. Ver Actividad 8 del Documento de Proyecto y Revisiones posteriores.

List of Uploaded Documents

No documents available.

7. Are social and environmental impacts and risks being successfully managed and monitored?

- 3: Social and environmental risks are tracked in the risk log. Appropriate assessments conducted where required (i.e., Environmental and Social Impact Assessment (ESIA) for High risk projects and some level of social and environmental assessment for Moderate risk projects as identified through SESP). Relevant management plan(s) developed for identified risks through consultative process and implemented, resourced, and monitored. Risks effectively managed or mitigated. If there has been a substantive change to the project or change in context that affects risk levels, the SESP is updated to reflect these changes. (all must be true)
- Social and environmental risks are tracked in the risk log. Appropriate assessments conducted where required (i.e., Environmental and Social Impact Assessment (ESIA) for High risk projects and some level of social and environmental assessment for Moderate risk projects as identified through SESP). Relevant management plan(s) developed, implemented and monitored for identified risks. OR project is categorized as Low risk through the SESP.
- 1: Social and environmental risks have not been tracked in the risk log. For projects categorized as High or Moderate Risk there is no evidence that social and environmental assessments have been completed and/or management plans or measures development, implemented or monitored. There have been substantive changes to the project or changes in the context but SESP has not been updated. (any may be true)

cto	e realizó la SES y no se detectaron riesgos o imp os probables en la materia.	ba	
Li	st of Uploaded Documents		
¥	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
No	documents available.		
	3: Project-affected people have been actively inf (SRM/SECU) and how to access it. If the project project -level grievance mechanism is in place a received, they are effectively addressed in accor	is categorized as High or nd project affected people	Moderate Risk through the SESP, informed. If grievances have been
No ec de	2: Project-affected people have been informed o access it. If the project is categorized as High Ri in place and project affected people informed. If challenges in arriving at a resolution. 1: Project-affected people not informed of UNDP been received they are not responded to. (any m dence: to se ha informado de la existencia de personas a stadas por el proyecto, pero en caso de presenta dicha situación, se informará de los mecanismos e responsabilidad del PNUD y cómo acceder a él	sk through the SESP, a pr grievances have been rec 's Corporate Accountabilit hay be true) If	untability Mechanism and how to oject -level grievance mechanism i eeived they are responded to but fa
No ec de	access it. If the project is categorized as High Ri in place and project affected people informed. If challenges in arriving at a resolution. 1: Project-affected people not informed of UNDP been received they are not responded to. (any m dence: to se ha informado de la existencia de personas a tadas por el proyecto, pero en caso de presenta dicha situación, se informará de los mecanismos	sk through the SESP, a pr grievances have been rec 's Corporate Accountabilit hay be true) If	untability Mechanism and how to oject -level grievance mechanism i seived they are responded to but fa
Evic No ec de	access it. If the project is categorized as High Ri in place and project affected people informed. If challenges in arriving at a resolution. 1: Project-affected people not informed of UNDP been received they are not responded to. (any m dence: to se ha informado de la existencia de personas a stadas por el proyecto, pero en caso de presenta dicha situación, se informará de los mecanismos e responsabilidad del PNUD y cómo acceder a él st of Uploaded Documents	sk through the SESP, a pr grievances have been rec 's Corporate Accountabilit nay be true) If s	untability Mechanism and how to oject -level grievance mechanism i seived they are responded to but fa ty Mechanism. If grievances have

Management & Monitoring

9. Is the project's M&E Plan sufficient and adequately implemented?

- S: The project has a comprehensive and costed M&E plan. Baselines, targets and milestones are fully populated. Progress data against indicators in the project's RRF is being reported regularly using credible data sources and collected according to the frequency stated in the Plan, including sex disaggregated data as relevant. Any evaluations conducted, if relevant, fully meet decentralized evaluation standards, including gender UNEG standards. Lessons learned, including during evaluations and/or After Action Reviews, are used to take corrective actions when necessary. (all must be true)
- 2: The project has a costed M&E Plan, and most baselines and targets are populated. Progress data against indicators in the project's RRF is collected on a regular basis, although there may be some slippage in following the frequency stated in the Plan and data sources are not always reliable. Any evaluations conducted, if relevant, meet most decentralized evaluation standards. Lessons learned have been captured but may not have been used to take corrective actions yet. (all must be true)
- 1: The project has an M&E Plan, but costs are not clearly planned and budgeted for, or are unrealistic.
 Progress data is not being regularly collected against the indicators in the project's RRF. Evaluations may not meet decentralized evaluation standards. Lessons learned are rarely captured and used. Select this option also if the project does not have an M&E plan.

Evidence:

El proyecto cuenta con un plan de monitoreo para to dos sus productos. Ver documento de proyectos y r evisiones posteriores.

Li	st of Uploaded Documents		
#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
No	documents available.		

10. Is project's governance mechanism (i.e., the project board or equivalent) functioning as intended?

- 3: The project's governance mechanism is operating well, and is a model for other projects. It has met in the agreed frequency stated in the project document and the minutes of the meetings are on file. There is regular (at least annual) progress reporting to the project board or equivalent on results, risks and opportunities. It is clear that the project board explicitly reviews and uses evidence, including progress data, knowledge, lessons and evaluations, as the basis for informing management decisions (e.g., change in strategy, approach, work plan.) (all must be true to select this option)
- 2: The project's governance mechanism has met in the agreed frequency and the minutes of the meeting are on file. A project progress report has been submitted to the project board or equivalent at least once in the past year, covering results, risks and opportunities. (both must be true to select this option)
- O 1: The project's governance mechanism has not met in the frequency stated in the project document over the past year and/or the project board or equivalent is not functioning as a decision-making body for the project as intended.

La gobernanza de proyecto está establecida en el d ocumento de proyecto con la participación de la can cillería, el pnud y la contraparte. Se ha cumplido en l a frecuencia acordada y las actas de la reunión está n archivadas. Ver minutas de monitoreos.

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
1	ARG12012Informedereunion14.06.18_113 9_210 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/Proj ectQA/QAFormDocuments/ARG12012Info rmedereunion14.06.18_1139_210.pdf)	maria.eugenia.galindez@undp.org	9/27/2019 11:37:00 AM
2	ARG12012Informedereunion18.07.18_113 9_210 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/Proj ectQA/QAFormDocuments/ARG12012Info rmedereunion18.07.18_1139_210.pdf)	maria.eugenia.galindez@undp.org	9/27/2019 11:37:00 AM
3	ARG12012MinutadeMonitoreo13.09.2016 _1139_210 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/ ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/ARG1201 2MinutadeMonitoreo13.09.2016_1139_21 0.pdf)	maria.eugenia.galindez@undp.org	9/27/2019 11:37:00 AM
4	ARG12012MinutadeReunón11.01.19_113 9_210 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/Proj ectQA/QAFormDocuments/ARG12012Min utadeReunón11.01.19_1139_210.pdf)	maria.eugenia.galindez@undp.org	9/27/2019 11:37:00 AM
5	ARG12012MinutaMonitoreo20.02.17_113 9_210 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/Proj ectQA/QAFormDocuments/ARG12012Min utaMonitoreo20.02.17_1139_210.pdf)	maria.eugenia.galindez@undp.org	9/27/2019 11:38:00 AM
6	ARG12012MinutaMonitoreo24.01.17_113 9_210 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/Proj ectQA/QAFormDocuments/ARG12012Min utaMonitoreo24.01.17_1139_210.pdf)	maria.eugenia.galindez@undp.org	9/27/2019 11:38:00 AM
7	ARG12012MinutaReunión18.08.17_1139_ 210 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/Project QA/QAFormDocuments/ARG12012Minuta Reunión18.08.17_1139_210.pdf)	maria.eugenia.galindez@undp.org	9/27/2019 11:38:00 AM
8	ARG12012MinutaReunión28.03.19_1139_ 210 (https://intranet.undp.org/apps/Project QA/QAFormDocuments/ARG12012Minuta Reunión28.03.19_1139_210.pdf)	maria.eugenia.galindez@undp.org	9/27/2019 11:39:00 AM
9	ARG12012MinutaReuniónTripartita22.05. 2017_1139_210 (https://intranet.undp.org/ apps/ProjectQA/QAFormDocuments/ARG 12012MinutaReuniónTripartita22.05.2017 _1139_210.pdf)	maria.eugenia.galindez@undp.org	9/27/2019 11:39:00 AM

11. Are risks to the project adequately monitored and managed?

igodoldoldoldoldoldoldoldoldoldoldoldoldol	3: The project has actively monitored risks every quarter including consulting with key stakeholders, including
	security advisors, to identify continuing and emerging risks and to assess if the main assumptions remain valid.
	There is clear evidence that relevant management plans and mitigating measures are being fully implemented
	to address each key project risk, and have been updated to reflect the latest risk assessment. (all must be true)

- 2: The project has monitored risks every year, as evidenced by an updated risk log. Some updates have been made to management plans and mitigation measures.
- 1: The risk log has not been updated as required. There may be some evidence that the project has monitored risks (including security risks or incidents) that may affect the project's achievement of results, but there is no explicit evidence that management actions have been taken to mitigate risks. In the case of a deteriorating security environment, no consultation has occurred with the UNDP Security Office on appropriate measures.

Se cuenta con una matriz de riesgos actualizada. V er minutas de monitoreos, documento de proyecto y revisiones posteriores.

	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
			1
No	documents available.		

Efficient	Quality Rating: Satisfactory
12. Adequate resources have been mobilized to achieve to adjust expected results in the project's results framew	intended results. If not, management decisions were taken ork.
 Yes No Evidence:	
Ver Plan de Ingresos y Gastos del documento de pr oyecto y revisiones posteriores. El proyecto cuenta con recursos, asignados para la financiación de tod as las actividades previstas.	

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
٩N	documents available.		
. A	re project inputs procured and delivere	d on time to efficiently contribute to	results?
	3: The project has an updated procurer project quarterly reviews operational be through appropriate management action 2: The project has an updated procurer procuring inputs in a timely manner and true) 1: The project does not have an update operational bottlenecks to procuring input taken to address them.	ottlenecks to procuring inputs in a ti ons. (all must be true) <i>ment plan. The project annually rev</i> <i>d addresses them through appropria</i> ed procurement plan. The project m	mely manner and addresses them iews operational bottlenecks to ate management actions. (all must b ay or may not have reviewed
ua o nt pr de	proyecto cuenta con un plan de adquis alizado en cada una de las revisiones a de proyecto. Adicionalmente, informa p e del avance de cada uno de los proces a. Ver Informes trimestrales y anuales, e proyectos, revisiones posteriores y mi hitoreos.	l document eriódicame sos de com documento	
Li	st of Uploaded Documents		
¥	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
	documents available.		

\bigcirc	3: There is evidence that the project regularly reviews costs against relevant comparators (e.g., other projects
	or country offices) or industry benchmarks to ensure the project maximizes results that can be delivered with
	given resources. The project actively coordinates with other relevant ongoing projects and initiatives (UNDP or
	other) to ensure complementarity and seek efficiencies wherever possible (e.g. joint activities.) (both must be
	true)

- 2: The project monitors its own costs and gives anecdotal examples of cost efficiencies (e.g., spending less to get the same result,) but there is no systematic analysis of costs and no link to the expected quality of results delivered. The project coordinates activities with other projects to achieve cost efficiency gains.
- 1: There is little or no evidence that the project monitors its own costs and is considering ways to save money beyond following standard procurement rules.

El proyecto cuenta con un plan de adquisiciones act ualizado y hace uso de los LTA, por ejemplo para m aximizar sus costos.

Adicionalmente, el proyecto presenta en tiempo y fo rma los informes de actividades con los desafíos y o bstáculos que detectan.

List of Uploaded Documents

Modified By

Modified On

No documents available.

File Name

Effective

#

Quality Rating: Satisfactory

15. Is the project is on track to deliver its expected outputs?

• Yes

O No

Evidence:

Si bien el Proyecto ejecuta sus actividades en base a las necesidades de las Areas requirentes, generá ndose demoras y cambios no previstos en la ejecuci ón de las actividades, el mismo avanza según los re sultados esperados.

ŧ	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
0	documents available.		
Н	love there been regular reviews of the work plan		
	lave lifere been regular reviews of life work plan	to ensure that the project is on trac	ck to achieve the desired
	ts, and to inform course corrections if needed?	to ensure that the project is on trac	ck to achieve the desired
sul	ts, and to inform course corrections if needed?		
)	ts, and to inform course corrections if needed? 3: Quarterly progress data has informed regular	reviews of the project work plan to	ensure that the activities
)	ts, and to inform course corrections if needed?	reviews of the project work plan to red results. There is evidence that (ensure that the activities data and lessons learned
)	ts, and to inform course corrections if needed? 3: Quarterly progress data has informed regular implemented are most likely to achieve the desir	reviews of the project work plan to red results. There is evidence that (Reviews) have been used to inform	ensure that the activities data and lessons learned
sul)	ts, and to inform course corrections if needed? 3: Quarterly progress data has informed regular implemented are most likely to achieve the desir (including from evaluations and/or After Action R needed. Any necessary budget revisions have b 2: There has been at least one review of the wor	reviews of the project work plan to red results. There is evidence that o Reviews) have been used to inform een made. (both must be true) rk plan per year to assess if project	ensure that the activities data and lessons learned course corrections, as activities are on track to
sul	ts, and to inform course corrections if needed? 3: Quarterly progress data has informed regular implemented are most likely to achieve the desir (including from evaluations and/or After Action R needed. Any necessary budget revisions have b	reviews of the project work plan to red results. There is evidence that a Reviews) have been used to inform een made. (both must be true) rk plan per year to assess if project outputs.) There may or may not be	ensure that the activities data and lessons learned course corrections, as activities are on track to evidence that data or

1: While the project team may have reviewed the work plan at least once over the past year to ensure outputs are delivered on time, no link has been made to the delivery of desired development results. Select this option also if no review of the work plan by management has taken place over the past year.

Evidence:

Trimestralmente el proyecto informa los resultados e n el avance en sus actividades y revisa la planificaci ón anual estimada. En los casos que fue necesario extender el proyecto o modificar las metas planifica das, se realizaron revisiones presupuestarias al doc umento de proyecto. Ver documento de proyecto y r evisiones posteriores.

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On	

No documents available.

17. Are targeted groups being systematically identified and engaged, prioritizing the marginalized and excluded, to ensure results are achieved as expected?

- 3: The project is targeting specific groups and/or geographic areas, identified by using credible data sources on their capacity needs, deprivation and/or exclusion from development opportunities relevant to the project's area of work. There is clear evidence that the targeted groups are being reached as intended. The project has engaged regularly with targeted groups over the past year to assess whether they are benefiting as expected and adjustments were made if necessary to refine targeting. (all must be true)
- 2: The project is targeting specific groups and/or geographic areas, based on some evidence of their capacity needs, deprivation and/or exclusion from development opportunities relevant to the project's area of work.
 Some evidence is provided to confirm that project beneficiaries are members of the targeted groups. There has been some engagement with beneficiaries in the past year to assess whether they are benefiting as expected. (all must be true)
- The project does not report on specific targeted groups. There is no evidence to confirm that project beneficiaries are deprived and/or excluded from development opportunities relevant to the project area of work. There may have been some engagement with beneficiaries to assess whether they are benefiting as expected, but it has been limited or has not occurred in the past year.
- Not Applicable

El proyecto se relaciona con otras áreas de la Secre taria de Gobierno pero no incluye beneficiarios priv ados o excluidos de las oportunidades de desarroll o.

List of Uploaded Documents

#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
No	documents available.		

Sustainability & National Ownership

Quality Rating: Satisfactory

18. Are stakeholders and national partners fully engaged in the decision-making, implementation and monitoring of the project?

\bigcirc	3: Only national systems (i.e., procurement, monitoring, evaluation, etc.) are used to fully implement and
	monitor the project. All relevant stakeholders and partners are fully and actively engaged in the process, playing
	a lead role in project decision-making, implementation and monitoring. (both must be true)

2: National systems (i.e., procurement, monitoring, evaluation, etc.) are used to implement and monitor the project, but other support (such as country office support or project systems) may also be used if necessary. All relevant stakeholders and partners are fully and actively engaged in the process, playing an active role in project decision-making, implementation and monitoring. (both must be true)

1: There is relatively limited or no engagement with national stakeholders and partners in the decision-making, implementation and/or monitoring of the project.

Not Applicable

Evidence:

Ver documento de proyecto -sección arreglos de ge stión- y revisiones posteriores.

Lis	st of Uploaded Documents		
#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
No	documents available.		

19. There is regular monitoring of changes in capacities and performance of institutions and systems relevant to the project, as needed. The implementation arrangements⁵ have been adjusted according to changes in partner capacities.

- 3: In the past two years, changes in capacities and performance of institutions and systems have been comprehensively assessed/monitored using clear indicators, rigorous methods of data collection and credible data sources including relevant HACT assurance activities. Implementation arrangements have been formally reviewed and adjusted, if needed, in agreement with partners according to changes in partner capacities. (both must be true)
- In the past two years, aspects of changes in capacities and performance of relevant national institutions and systems have been monitored by the project using indicators and reasonably credible data sources including relevant HACT assurance activities. Some adjustment has been made to implementation arrangements if needed to reflect changes in partner capacities. (both must be true)

1: Some aspects of changes in capacities and performance of relevant national institutions and systems may have been monitored by the project, however changes to implementation arrangements have not been considered. Also select this option if changes in capacities and performance of relevant national institutions and systems have not been monitored by the project.

Not Applicable

s -: cuo esi	r Documento de proyectos y revisiones posterio sección arreglos de gestión La modalidad de e ción se ha cambiado a support to NIM ante la n idad de ejecutar los procesos de LTA impulsado r el PNUD.	eje ec	
Lis	st of Uploaded Documents		
#	File Name	Modified By	Modified On
No	documents available.		
iano 1 -	cial commitments and capacity). 3: The project's governance mechanism has rev for transition and phase-out, to ensure the proje The plan has been adjusted according to progre 2: There has been a review of the project's sust	viewed the project's sustain tect is on track in meeting the ess as needed. (both must b ainability plan, including arr	e requirements set out by the plan. be true) rangements for transition and phase
nanc 1 - - - -	cial commitments and capacity). 3: The project's governance mechanism has rev for transition and phase-out, to ensure the proje The plan has been adjusted according to progre	viewed the project's sustainant tect is on track in meeting the ease as needed. (both must be trainability plan, including arr the requirements set out by ut there has not been a revision does not have a sustainabilities	ability plan, including arrangement e requirements set out by the plan. be true) rangements for transition and phase of the plan. ew of this strategy since it was
Evic	cial commitments and capacity). 3: The project's governance mechanism has reverse for transition and phase-out, to ensure the project The plan has been adjusted according to progree 2: There has been a review of the project's sust out, to ensure the project is on track in meeting 1: The project may have a sustainability plan, but developed. Also select this option if the project of the project of the	viewed the project's sustainant tect is on track in meeting the ease as needed. (both must be trainability plan, including arr the requirements set out by ut there has not been a revision does not have a sustainabilities	ability plan, including arrangement e requirements set out by the plan. be true) rangements for transition and phase of the plan. ew of this strategy since it was
Evic	cial commitments and capacity). 3: The project's governance mechanism has reverse for transition and phase-out, to ensure the projec The plan has been adjusted according to progree 2: There has been a review of the project's sust out, to ensure the project is on track in meeting 1: The project may have a sustainability plan, but developed. Also select this option if the project of dence: r documento de proyecto y revisiones posteriore	viewed the project's sustainant tect is on track in meeting the ease as needed. (both must be trainability plan, including arr the requirements set out by ut there has not been a revision does not have a sustainabilities	ability plan, including arrangement e requirements set out by the plan. be true) rangements for transition and phase of the plan. ew of this strategy since it was

QA Summary/Project Board Comments